	Case 5:10-cv-04000-JF Document 11 Filed 03/14/11 Page 1 of 3
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION
11	
12	KIM HA VU, et al., ) Case No.: C 10-04000 PSG
13	Plaintiffs, ) REQUEST FOR REASSIGNMENT TO A U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE;
14	v. ) REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION RE DISMISSAL FOR FAILURE TO
15	WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK FA, et ) PROSECUTE
16	Defendants.
17	)
18	Plaintiff filed the complaint in this action on September 7, 2010. The parties were required
19	to file a case management statement on November 16, 2010 and to appear for a case management
20	conference on November 23, 2010. <sup>1</sup> Also, by November 16, 2010, the parties were required either
21	to file written consent to the jurisdiction of the magistrate judge or to request reassignment to a
22	district judge. <sup>2</sup> None of the parties filed a case management statement or consented or declined
23	magistrate judge jurisdiction, and on November 22, 2010 the Clerk rescheduled the case
24	management conference for January 4, 2011. <sup>3</sup> On January 4, 2011, none of the parties appeared at
25	
26	<sup>1</sup> See 9/10/10 Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines (Docket No. 3).
27	<sup>2</sup> See Civ. L.R. 73-1(a)(1).
28	<sup>3</sup> See 11/22/10 Clerks Notice (Docket No. 6).
	Order, page 1

## Case 5:10-cv-04000-JF Document 11 Filed 03/14/11 Page 2 of 3

the case management conference.

If the plaintiff fails to prosecute or to comply with these rules or a court order, the court may dismiss the action.<sup>4</sup> On February 16, 2011, the court ordered Plaintiff to show cause in writing no later than March 2, 2011 why the case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. To date, Plaintiff has not responded whatsoever. Accordingly, the above-captioned action shall be reassigned to a U.S. District Judge with a report and recommendation that the case be dismissed for failure to prosecute.

United States Magistrate Judge

Dated: March 14, 2011

<sup>4</sup> See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

P. 41(b).

ORDER, page 2

	Case 3.10-cv-04000-31 Document 11 Thed 03/14/11 Fage 3 0/3
1 2	Notice of this filing was automatically mailed to counsel via the court's Electronic Case Filing system.
3	A copy of this filing was mailed to:
4	Kim Ha Vu 18900 Newsom Avenue Cupertino, CA 95014
<ul><li>5</li><li>6</li><li>7</li></ul>	Huy Vu 18900 Newsom Avenue Cupertino, CA 95014
8	Dated:
9	
10	Chambers of U.S. Magistrate Judge Paul S. Grewal
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	
27 28	
40	